23

24

25

26

27

28

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAMANATHAN PADMANABHAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MICHAEL CHERTOFF,

Defendant.

No. C 07-05358 JSW

ORDER SETTING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND
REQUIRING JOINT CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
STATEMENT

#### TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The above matter having been assigned to the Honorable Jeffrey S. White, it is hereby ordered that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and Civil L. R. 16-10, a Case Management Conference shall be held in this case on January 25, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 2, 17th Floor, Federal Building, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California.

Plaintiff(s) shall serve copies of this Order immediately on all parties to this action, and on any parties subsequently joined, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 and 5. Following service, plaintiff(s) shall file with the Clerk of the Court a certificate reflecting such service, in accordance with Civil L. R. 5-6(a).

The parties shall appear in person through lead counsel to discuss all items referred to in this Order and with authority to enter stipulations, to make admissions and to agree to further scheduling dates.

The parties shall file a joint case management statement no later than five (5) court days

prior to the conference. The joint case management statement shall address all of the topics set forth
in the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California - Contents of Joint Case
Management Statement, which can be found on the Court's website located at
http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. See N.D. Civ L.R. 16-9. If any one or more of the parties is
proceeding without counsel, the parties may file separate case management statements. Separate
statements my also address all of the topics set forth in the Standing Order referenced above. Any
request to reschedule the date of the conference shall be made in writing, and by stipulation if
possible, at least ten (10) calendar days before the date of the conference and must be based upon
good cause. In order to assist the Court in evaluating any need for disqualification or recusal, the
parties shall disclose to the Court the identities of any person, associations, firms, partnerships,
corporations or other entities known by the parties to have either (1) financial interest in the subject
matter at issue or in a party to the proceeding; or (2) any other kind of interest that could be
substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding. If disclosure of non-party interested entitie
or persons has already been made as required by Civil L. R. 3-16, the parties may simply reference
the pleading or document in which the disclosure was made. In this regard, counsel are referred to
the Court's Recusal Order posted on the Court website at the Judges Information link at
http://www.cand.uscourts.gov.

# IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 24, 2007

JEFFREY S. WHITE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 RAMANATHAN PADMANABHAN, Case Number: CV07-05358 JSW 6 Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 7 8 MICHAEL CHERTOFF et al, 9 Defendant. 10 11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 12 13 That on October 24, 2007, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an 14 inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 15 16 17 Ramanathan Padmanabhan 936 Redwood Drive Danville, CA 94506 18 marier Ottole Dated: October 24, 2007 19 hard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk 20 21 22 23 24 25 26